Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/688,982	PUNIELLO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>EDMUND H. LEE</u> .	(3)	
(2) MRS. SCRUGGS.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: THOSE OUTSTANDING IN THE CASE		
Claims discussed: THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE		
Prior art documents discussed: THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN C ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AN	ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTAN	IT CASE IN CONDITION FOR
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(F - : (OPF 0)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		